

[6] 1. Use the **Euclidean algorithm** to find $\gcd(81, 25)$. Then use your work to write $\gcd(81, 25)$ in the form $81a + 25b$ where a and b are integers.

Solution. We get

$$81 = 3 \cdot 25 + 6, \quad (\text{so } 6 = 81 - 3 \cdot 25)$$

$$25 = 4 \cdot 6 + 1, \quad (\text{so } 1 = 25 - 4 \cdot 6)$$

$$6 = 6 \cdot 1 + 0 \quad (\text{optional}),$$

so $\gcd(81, 25) = 1$ (the last nonzero remainder). Now reversing these steps gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(81, 25) = 1 &= 25 - 4 \cdot 6 \\ &= 25 - 4(81 - 3 \cdot 25) = 25 - 4 \cdot 81 + 12 \cdot 25 = 13 \cdot 25 - 4 \cdot 81, \end{aligned}$$

so $a = -4$ and $b = 13$.

Alternately, we could use the table method taught in class, to get:

what the Q-R Thm says	row operation		81	25	what this row says
		81	1	0	$81 = 1 \cdot 81 + 0 \cdot 25$
		25	0	1	$25 = 0 \cdot 81 + 1 \cdot 25$
$81 = 3 \cdot 25 + 6$	$R1 - 3R2$	6	1	-3	$6 = 1 \cdot 81 + (-3) \cdot 25$
$25 = 4 \cdot 6 + 1$	$R2 - 4R3$	1	-4	13	$1 = (-4) \cdot 81 + 13 \cdot 25$

Thus from the last row we see that $\gcd(81, 25) = 1 = (-4) \cdot 81 + 13 \cdot 25$.

[6] 2. Find the number of ways to arrange 5 women and 5 men in a row so that all the men are to the left of all the women. Explain (but do not simplify) your answer.

Solution. There are $5!$ ways to arrange the five men in a row. For each such arrangement, there are $5!$ ways to arrange the five women to the right of the five men. Thus by the multiplication rule, there are $5! \cdot 5!$ ways to arrange all ten people.

Aside: Note that $5! + 5!$ is the solution to a different problem, namely: Find the number of ways to choose *either* the five men *or* the five women and arrange them in a row.

3. Let \mathcal{S} be the statement:

for all sets A and B , if $2 \in A$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then $2 \notin B$.

[4] (a) Prove \mathcal{S} , using contradiction.

Solution. Let A and B be sets and assume that $2 \in A$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Now to get a contradiction, assume that $2 \in B$. Then since $2 \in A$ and $2 \in B$, we get that $2 \in A \cap B$, which is a contradiction to $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Therefore $2 \in B$ is impossible, so $2 \notin B$, and thus statement \mathcal{S} is true.

Alternatively, we could write out the *negation* of \mathcal{S} and prove in a very similar way that it is false. The negation is:

there exist sets A and B such that $2 \in A$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and also $2 \in B$.

We prove that the negation is false by contradiction. Suppose that it is true, and let A and B be sets so that $2 \in A$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $2 \in B$. Then since $2 \in A$ and $2 \in B$, we get that $2 \in A \cap B$, which is a contradiction to $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus the negation must be false, so the original statement \mathcal{S} must be true.

[1 + 4] (b) Write out (as simply as possible) the *converse* of statement \mathcal{S} . Is it true or false? Explain.

Solution. The converse is

for all sets A and B , if $2 \notin B$ then $2 \in A$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

The converse is **false**. The simplest counterexample is $A = \emptyset$, $B = \emptyset$. Then $2 \notin B$, so the hypothesis of the converse is true, but it is not true that $2 \in A$, so the conclusion “ $2 \in A$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ” fails. There are lots of other counterexamples.

[2 + 1] (c) Write out (as simply as possible) the *contrapositive* of statement \mathcal{S} . Is it true or false? Explain.

Solution. The contrapositive is

for all sets A and B , if $2 \in B$ then $2 \notin A$ **or** $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

The contrapositive is **true**, because it is equivalent to the original statement \mathcal{S} which is true.

[6] 4. Prove **using mathematical induction** (or well ordering) that $5n - 1 \leq 4^n$ for all integers $n \geq 1$.

Solution. Basis step: When $n = 1$ the statement is $5 \cdot 1 - 1 \leq 4^1$ which is true since both sides are equal to 4.

Inductive step: Assume that $5k - 1 \leq 4^k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. We want to prove that $5(k + 1) - 1 \leq 4^{k+1}$, which can be rewritten as $5k + 4 \leq 4^{k+1}$. By multiplying both sides of the assumption by 4, we get

$$4^{k+1} = 4 \cdot 4^k \geq 4(5k - 1) = 20k - 4.$$

Now **if we could prove that**

$$20k - 4 \geq 5k + 4 \tag{1}$$

for any integer $k \geq 1$, it would follow that

$$4^{k+1} \geq 20k - 4 \geq 5k + 4,$$

and the inductive step would be proven. So we want to prove (1). But (1) is equivalent to $15k \geq 8$ or $k \geq 8/15$, which is true since $k \geq 1$.

[*Note:* Here is a one-line way to prove that $5(k + 1) - 1 \leq 4^{k+1}$ using the inductive hypothesis $5k - 1 \leq 4^k$ and the fact that $2 \leq 4^k$ for all $k \geq 1$:

$$5(k + 1) - 1 = (5k - 1) + 2 + 2 + 1 \leq 4^k + 4^k + 4^k + 4^k = 4 \cdot 4^k = 4^{k+1}.$$

But this method may be harder to find?]

So this proves the inductive step, so $5n - 1 \leq 4^n$ is true for all integers $n \geq 1$ by induction.

Note that the statement $5n - 1 \leq 4^n$ is actually true for all integers $n \geq 0$ (since $5 \cdot 0 - 1 \leq 4^0$ says $-1 \leq 1$ which is true), but to prove this by induction you would need to include **both** $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ into the basis step, since the inductive step needs $k \geq 1$.