

**MATHEMATICS 271 L01 FALL 2003**  
**ASSIGNMENT 5 SOLUTION**

1. In this question,  $a, b, d$  are positive integers. Prove or disprove each of the following statements.

- (a)  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$  if and only if  $d \mid (xa + yb)$  for all integers  $x$  and  $y$ .
- (b)  $\gcd(a, b) \leq \gcd(xa + yb, ma + nb)$  for all integers  $x, y, m$  and  $n$ .
- (c) For all positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(2a + 3b, 2a + b)$ .
- (d) For all positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(2a + 3b, a + 2b)$ .

**Solution:**

(a) This statement is true and here is a proof.

( $\implies$ ) Suppose that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ . We show that  $d \mid (xa + yb)$  for all integers  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , there are  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $a = dm$  and  $b = dn$ , and so  $xa + yb = xdm + ydn = d(xm + yn)$ , which implies that  $d \mid (xa + yb)$  (note that  $xm + yn \in \mathbb{Z}$  because  $x, y, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose that  $d \mid (xa + yb)$  for all integers  $x$  and  $y$ . It follows that  $d \mid (1a + 0b)$  and  $d \mid (0a + 1b)$ , that is,  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ .

(b) This statement is true and here is a proof.

Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Then  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , and so by (a) we have for any integers  $x, y, m$  and  $n$ ,  $d \mid xa + yb$  and  $d \mid ma + nb$ . Thus,  $d$  is a common divisor of  $xa + yb$  and  $ma + nb$ , and so  $d \leq \gcd(xa + yb, ma + nb)$ , that is,  $\gcd(a, b) \leq \gcd(xa + yb, ma + nb)$ .

(c) This statement is false. For example, when  $a = 1$  and  $b = 2$ , we have  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(1, 2) = 1 \neq 4 = \gcd(8, 4) = \gcd(2a + 3b, 2a + b)$ .

(d) This statement is true and here is a proof. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be positive integers. Let  $d_1 = \gcd(a, b)$  and  $d_2 = \gcd(2a + 3b, a + 2b)$ .

From part (b), we have  $d_1 = \gcd(a, b) \leq \gcd(2a + 3b, a + 2b) = d_2$ .

On the other hand, also from part (b), we have

$$d_2 = \gcd(2a + 3b, a + 2b) \leq \gcd(2[2a + 3b] - 3[a + 2b], 2[a + 2b] - [2a + 3b]) = \gcd(a, b) = d_1.$$

Since  $d_1 \leq d_2$  and  $d_2 \leq d_1$ , we have that  $d_1 = d_2$ , that is,  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(2a + 3b, a + 2b)$ .

2. Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $G_n$  be the graph where  $V(G_n) = \{2, 3, 4, \dots, n\}$  and a vertex  $a$  is connected to vertex  $b$  by an edge if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime. For each of the following questions from part (b) to part (e), an explanation is required to support your answer.

(a) Draw the graphs  $G_5$  and  $G_6$ .

(b) Find the degree of the vertex 2 in  $G_n$ .

(c) Find the degree of the vertex 3 in  $G_n$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

(d) Is it true that  $G_n$  has a Hamiltonian path for all integers  $n \geq 2$ ? (A Hamiltonian path of a graph  $G$  is a path that contains all the vertices of  $G$ .)

(e) Find all values of  $n$  so that  $G_n$  has a Hamiltonian cycle? (A Hamiltonian cycle of a graph  $G$  is a cycle that contains all the vertices of  $G$ .)

**Solution:**

(b) It is clear that  $\{2, a\}$  is not an edge if and only if  $a$  is not a multiple of 2. Thus, the vertices which are not neighbours of 2 are  $2, 4, 6, \dots, 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , and so  $d(2) = |V(G_n)| - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = n - 1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$

(c) Similarly, it is clear that  $\{3, a\}$  is not an edge if and only if  $a$  is not a multiple of 3. Thus, the vertices which are not neighbours of 2 are  $3, 6, \dots, 3 \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ , and so  $d(2) = |V(G_n)| - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor = n - 1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$

(d) Yes,  $G_n$  has a Hamiltonian path for all integers  $n \geq 2$ . In fact, a Hamiltonian path of  $G_n$  is  $2 \sim 3 \sim 4 \sim 5 \sim \dots \sim n$ . This is from the fact that two consecutive integers  $k$  and  $k + 1$  are relative prime (because  $1 = (k + 1) + (-1)k$ ) and so they are adjacent.

(e) We prove that  $G_n$  has a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if  $n$  is odd.

( $\implies$ ) We show that if  $n$  is even then  $G_n$  does not have a Hamiltonian cycle. Suppose that  $n$  is even. We note that two even integers are not relative prime and so they are not adjacent. Thus, in a cycle, the even integers must be separated by the odd integers. However, since  $n$  is even, the number of even integers in  $V(G_n)$  is one more than the number of even integers in  $V(G_n)$ , so  $G_n$  can not have a Hamiltonian cycle.

( $\impliedby$ ) We show that if  $n$  is odd then  $G_n$  has a Hamiltonian cycle. Suppose that  $n$  is odd. Then a Hamiltonian cycle of  $G_n$  is  $2 \sim 3 \sim 4 \sim 5 \sim \dots \sim n \sim 2$ .

**3.** (This is exercise #13 on page 376 of the text book) Let  $n$  and  $k$  be integers with  $1 \leq k < n$ . Let  $G_{n,k}$  be the graph with  $V(G_{n,k}) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1\}$  and  $E(G_{n,k}) = \{ab : a - b \equiv \pm k \pmod{n}\}$ .

(a) Draw the graphs  $G_{6,1}$ ,  $G_{6,2}$  and  $G_{6,3}$ .

(b) Find necessary and sufficient conditions on  $n$  and  $k$  so that  $G$  is connected. Prove your answer.

(c) Find a formula involving  $n$  and  $k$  for the number of connected components of  $G$ . Explain how you get the formula.

**Solution:**

(b) We prove that  $G_{n,k}$  is connected if and only if  $n$  and  $k$  are relatively prime.

We note that  $ab$  is an edge of  $G_{n,k}$  if and only if  $a - b \equiv \pm k \pmod{n}$ , if and only if  $b = (a \pm k) \pmod{n}$ , and therefore

if there is an  $(a, b)$ -walk, then  $b = (a + ik) \pmod{n}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . ( $\star$ )

( $\implies$ ) Suppose that  $G_{n,k}$  is connected. Then there is a  $(0, 1)$ -path, and so by ( $\star$ ), we have  $1 = (ik) \pmod{n}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This, means  $k \otimes (i \pmod{n}) = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , so  $k$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , and so  $n$  and  $k$  are relatively prime.

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose that  $n$  and  $k$  are relatively prime. First, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} ab \text{ is an edge of } G_{n,k} &\iff a - b \equiv \pm k \pmod{n} \\ &\iff b = (a + k) \pmod{n} \text{ or } b = (a - k) \pmod{n} \end{aligned}$$

and so, we see that  $0 \sim k \pmod{n} \sim (2k) \pmod{n} \sim (3k) \pmod{n} \sim \dots \sim ((n - 1)k) \pmod{n} = 0$  is a walk in  $G_{n,k}$ . We show that  $G_{n,k}$  this walk contains all the vertices of  $G_{n,k}$  by showing that  $0, k \pmod{n}, (2k) \pmod{n}, (3k) \pmod{n}, \dots, ((n - 1)k) \pmod{n}$  are distinct. Suppose

that  $(ik) \bmod n = (jk) \bmod n$  for some integers  $0 \leq i, j < n$ . Since  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , from  $(ik) \bmod n = (jk) \bmod n$ , we have  $i \otimes k = j \otimes k$ . However, since  $n$  and  $k$  are relatively prime,  $k$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $k^{-1}$  exists, and so  $i = i \otimes k \otimes k^{-1} = j \otimes k \otimes k^{-1} = j$ . Thus, the above walk contains all the vertices of  $G_{n,k}$  and hence,  $G_{n,k}$  is connected.

(c) We show that  $G_{n,k}$  has  $\gcd(n,k)$  components. In (b), we have shown that if  $\gcd(n,k) = 1$  ( $n$  and  $k$  are relatively prime) then  $G_{n,k}$  is connected and so it has 1 component. Suppose that  $g = \gcd(n,k) > 1$ . Since  $g \mid n$ , there is an integer  $m > 0$  so that  $n = mg$ . We show that the components of  $G_{n,k}$  are the induced subgraphs  $G_{n,k}[A_j]$  where for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, g-1$ ,

$$A_j = \{j, (j+k) \bmod n, (j+2k) \bmod n, (j+3k) \bmod n, \dots, (j+(m-1)k) \bmod n\}$$

We note that  $j \sim (j+k) \bmod n \sim (j+2k) \bmod n \sim (j+3k) \bmod n \sim \dots \sim (j+(m-1)k) \bmod n$  are walks in  $G_{n,k}$  for all  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, g-1$ . Thus,  $G_{n,k}[A_j]$  are connected for all  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, g-1$ . Next, let  $a \in A_r$  and  $b \in A_s$ , where  $r \neq s$  and  $0 \leq r, s \leq g-1$ , we show that  $a$  and  $b$  are not connected by a contradiction proof. Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are connected, that is, there is an  $(a,b)$ -walk, then by  $(\star)$ ,  $b = (a+xk) \bmod n$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and so there is  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $a+xk = yn+b$ . It follows that

$$a - b = yn - xk. \quad (\clubsuit)$$

On the other hand, since  $a \in A_r$  and  $b \in A_s$ ,  $a = (r+pk) \bmod n$  and  $b = (s+qk) \bmod n$  for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, there are  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $r+pk = nu+a$  and  $s+qk = nv+b$ . It follows that  $a = r+pk - nu$  and  $b = s+qk - nv$  and hence

$$a - b = r - s + (p-q)k + (v-u)n. \quad (\spadesuit)$$

From  $(\clubsuit)$  and  $(\spadesuit)$ , we have  $r-s = \alpha n + \beta k$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now, since  $g = \gcd(n,k)$ , we have  $g \mid n$  and  $g \mid k$ , and so by 1(a),  $g \mid (\alpha n + \beta k)$ , that is  $g \mid (r-s)$ . Thus,  $r-s$  is a multiple of  $g$  between  $-g$  and  $g$  (because  $0 \leq r, s \leq g-1$ ) and so  $r-s = 0$ . This implies  $r = s$  which contradicts the assumption that  $r \neq s$ . Thus, the components of  $G_{n,k}$  are the induced subgraphs  $G_{n,k}[A_j]$  where  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, g-1$ , and so  $G_{n,k}$  has  $g = \gcd(nk)$  components.