

**MATHEMATICS 271 L01 FALL 2003
ASSIGNMENT 2 SOLUTION**

1. Prove or disprove each of the following statements.

- (a) For all sets A and B , $2^A \cup 2^B \subseteq 2^{A \cup B}$.
- (b) For all sets A and B , $2^{A \cup B} \subseteq 2^A \cup 2^B$.
- (c) For all sets A and B , $2^A - 2^B \subseteq 2^{A-B}$.
- (d) For all sets A and B , $2^{A-B} \subseteq 2^A - 2^B$.

Solution:

(a) This statement is true and here is a proof. Let A and B be sets. Suppose that $X \in 2^A \cup 2^B$; that is, $X \in 2^A$ or $X \in 2^B$.

Case 1: $X \in 2^A$. Then $X \subseteq A$ and so $X \subseteq A \cup B$ which means $X \in 2^{A \cup B}$.

Case 2: $X \in 2^B$. Then $X \subseteq B$ and so $X \subseteq A \cup B$ which means $X \in 2^{A \cup B}$.

Thus, $2^A \cup 2^B \subseteq 2^{A \cup B}$.

(b) This statement is false. For example, when $A = \{1\}$ and $B = \{2\}$, we have $\{1, 2\} = A \cup B \in 2^{A \cup B}$, but $\{1, 2\} \notin 2^A$ and $\{1, 2\} \notin 2^B$ and so $2^{A \cup B} \not\subseteq 2^A \cup 2^B$.

(c) This statement is false. For example, when $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{2\}$, we have $\{1, 2\} = A \in 2^A$, but $\{1, 2\} \notin 2^B$ because $\{1, 2\} \not\subseteq \{2\} = B$. Thus, $\{1, 2\} \in 2^A - 2^B$. However, $\{1, 2\} \notin 2^{A-B}$ because $\{1, 2\} \not\subseteq \{1\} = A - B$. Therefore, $2^A - 2^B \not\subseteq 2^{A-B}$ in this case.

(d) This statement is false. In fact, for any sets A and B , we see that $\emptyset \in 2^{A-B}$ and $\emptyset \notin 2^A - 2^B$ (because $\emptyset \subseteq A - B$ and $\emptyset \in 2^B$). Thus, $2^{A-B} \not\subseteq 2^A - 2^B$.

2. Let \mathcal{R} be a relation on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ defined by: “for all $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, $(a, b) \mathcal{R} (c, d)$ if and only if $ab = cd$.”

- (a) Prove that \mathcal{R} is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) Is there an equivalence class of $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{R})$ that has exactly one element?
- (c) Is there an equivalence class of $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{R})$ that has exactly two elements?
- (d) List all elements of the equivalence class $[(1, 8)]$ have?
- (e) List all elements of the equivalence class $[(1, 81)]$ have?
- (f) Is there an equivalence class of $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{R})$ that has exactly 271 elements?

Solution:

(a) We need to prove that \mathcal{R} is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

\mathcal{R} is reflexive: Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Since $ab = ab$, we have $(a, b) \mathcal{R} (a, b)$.

\mathcal{R} is symmetric: Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $(a, b) \mathcal{R} (c, d)$, that is, $ab = cd$ and hence $cd = ab$ and so $(c, d) \mathcal{R} (a, b)$.

\mathcal{R} is transitive: Let $(a, b), (c, d), (e, f) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $(a, b) \mathcal{R} (c, d)$ and $(c, d) \mathcal{R} (e, f)$, that is, $ab = cd$ and $cd = ef$, hence $ab = ef$ and so $(a, b) \mathcal{R} (e, f)$.

Since \mathcal{R} is reflexive, symmetric and transitive on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{R} is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Yes, there is an equivalence class of $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{R})$ that has exactly one element. For example, $[(1, 1)] = \{(1, 1)\}$ which has exactly one element.

(c) Yes, there is an equivalence class of $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{R})$ that has exactly two element. For example, $[(1, 2)] = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ which has exactly two element.

(d) The elements of $[(1, 8)]$ are $(1, 8), (2, 4), (4, 2), (8, 1)$.

(e) The elements of $[(1, 81)]$ are $(1, 81), (3, 27), (9, 9), (27, 3), (81, 1)$.

(f) Yes, there an equivalence class of $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{R})$ that has exactly 271 elements. For example, the equivalence class $[(1, 2^{270})] = \{(1, 2^{270}), (2, 2^{269}), (2^2, 2^{268}), \dots, (2^{270}, 1)\}$ has exactly 271 elements.

3. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \leq 1000\}$ Let \mathcal{S} be a relation on $2^A - \{\emptyset\}$ defined by: “for all $X, Y \in 2^A$, $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if the maximum element of X equals the maximum element of Y .”

(a) Prove that \mathcal{S} is an equivalence relation on $2^A - \{\emptyset\}$.

(b) Is there an equivalence class of $(2^A - \{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{S})$ that has exactly one element?

(c) Is there an equivalence class of $(2^A - \{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{S})$ that has exactly two elements?

(d) List all elements of the equivalence class $[\{3\}]$.

(e) How many elements does the equivalence class $[\{271\}]$ have? Explain.

(f) Is there an equivalence class of $(2^A - \{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{S})$ that has exactly 271 elements?

Solution:

(a) We need to prove that \mathcal{S} is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

\mathcal{S} is reflexive: Let $X \in 2^A - \{\emptyset\}$. Since the maximum element of X equals the maximum element of X , we have $X\mathcal{S}X$.

\mathcal{S} is symmetric: Let $X, Y \in 2^A - \{\emptyset\}$ and suppose that $X\mathcal{S}Y$, that is, the maximum element of X equals the maximum element of Y , and so the maximum element of Y equals the maximum element of X , and hence so $Y\mathcal{S}X$.

\mathcal{S} is transitive: Let $X, Y, Z \in 2^A - \{\emptyset\}$ and suppose that $X\mathcal{S}Y$ and $Y\mathcal{S}Z$, that is, the maximum element of X equals the maximum element of Y , and the maximum element of Y equals the maximum element of Z , and hence the maximum element of X equals the maximum element of Z , which means $X\mathcal{S}Z$.

Since \mathcal{S} is reflexive, symmetric and transitive on $2^A - \{\emptyset\}$, \mathcal{S} is an equivalence relation on $2^A - \{\emptyset\}$.

(b) Yes, there an equivalence class of $(2^A - \{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{S})$ that has exactly one element. For instance, $[\{0\}] = \{\{0\}\}$ which has exactly one element.

(c) Yes, there an equivalence class of $(2^A - \{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{S})$ that has exactly two elements. For instance, $[\{1\}] = \{\{0, 1\}, \{1\}\}$ which has exactly two elements.

(d) The elements of the equivalence class $[\{3\}]$ are:

$\{3\}, \{0, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 3\}, \{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

(e) The equivalence class $[\{271\}]$ has 2^{271} elements. This is because $X\mathcal{S}\{271\}$ if and only if $X = \{271\} \cup Y$ where Y is a subset of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 270\}$. Thus the number of such X is the number of subsets of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 270\}$, which is 2^{271} .

(f) No, no equivalence class of $(2^A - \{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{S})$ has exactly 271 elements. This is because given any equivalence class, its elements must have the same maximum elements, say M , where M is a natural number. A similar argument as in (e) tells us that the number of elements of this equivalence class is 2^M , which is a power of 2, and so it can not be 271.